

✓ c.s.v.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 2196 OF 2004

PETITIONER

:

1. Smt. Kamla Bai Parakh, aged 74 years, Wd./of Deepchand Parakh, r/o. House No. 45/302, Sadar Bazar, Raipur (C.G.) (Defendant No. 1).

2. Pradeep Kumar Parakh, aged 50 years, s/o. Late Shri Deepchand Parakh, r/o. 45/302, Sadar Bazar, Raipur (Defendant No. 2).

3. Smt. Saroj Lunia, aged 45 years, w/o. Suresh Chandra Lunia, r/o. 2/519, Choubey Colony, Opposite Rajkumar College, Raipur (Defendant No. 4).

P.R. No. 2196/04
Presented by Shri. Abhishek Sharma
d. 23-1-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

:

1. Inderchand Parakh aged 62 years, S/o. Late Dharamchand Parakh, r/o. 33, Panchsheet Nagar, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.) (Plaintiff)

2. Rameshchand Parakh, aged 48 years, s/o. Late Deepchand Parakh, r/o. 29, Navjeevan Society, Pachpedhi Naka, Raipur (C.G.)

3. Smt. Kamla Bai, aged 68 years, Wd./o. Sheetalchand Parakh, r/o. 11, Panchsheel Nagar, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)

4. Sharadchand Parakh, aged 50 years, S/o. Late Sheetalchand Parakh, r/o. 11, Panchsheet Nagar, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)

5. Vimalchand Parakh, aged 48 years, S/o. Late Sheetalchand Parakh, r/o. 11, Panchsheel Nagar, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)

- (4)
- 2
6. Neelesh Kumar Parakh, aged 33 years, s/o. Inderchand Parakh, r/o. 33, Panchsheel Nagal, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)
7. Siddharth Parakh, aged 24 years, s/o. Inderchand Parakh, r/o. 33 Panchsheel Nagar, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE
NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY AND/OR ANY
OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP.No. 8196 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>1-8-2005</u> Shri Ashok Soni, counsel for the petitioners. Shri B. P. Sharma, counsel for respondent No.1. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw this petition. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn. At this stage, learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that earlier this Court passed the order directing the trial Court to decide the main suit within a period of 9 months, but on account of pendency of this petition, proceedings could not proceed, therefore, now the trial Court may be directed to decide the suit expeditiously. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for respondent No.1, the trial Court should try to decide the suit expeditiously. Stay order granted by this Court stands vacated. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge

Baruef

(पीछे देखिये)